JURY VERDICT FORM

QUESTION No. 1:	
	greater weight of the evidence that Wyeth inadequately warned about a
	Premarin (with progestin) or Prempro and that such failure proximately
caused her breast cancer?	Temmin (with progressing or tromps of the manufacture prominency)
cadiod no break cancer.	
Yes:	(No:
QUESTION No. 2:	
	greater weight of the evidence that Premarin and/or Prempro were
	such defect proximately caused her breast cancer?
Yes:	(No:))
QUESTION NO. 3:	
Has Ms. Rush proven by the	greater weight of the evidence that Wyeth was negligent, and that such
negligence proximately cau	cd her breast cancer?
Yes:	(No:))
QUESTION NO. 4:	
	eater weight of the evidence that Ms. Rush knew or should have known
of the causal connection be	ween Premarin (with progestin) or Prempro and her breast cancer before
March 18, 2002.	
Yes:	(No:
If you are yoursel Van to Ove	otions I. 2 on 2 on one Operation No. 5:
if you answered it es to Que	stions I, 2 or 3, answer Question No. 5:
QUESTION NO. 5:	
What amount of money, if a	ny, would fairly and reasonably compensate Ms. Rush for the damages
caused by Premarin (with p	ogestin) or Prempro?
•	
\$	

Presiding Juror

Please inform the Court that you have reached a verdict.